

## CUSU COUNCIL MICH II MINUTES – 26<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER

### ATTENDANCE

#### PRESENT

Priscilla Mensah, CUSU President  
Jemma Stewart, CUSU Coordinator  
Robert Cashman, CUSU Education Officer  
Helena Blair, CUSU Access and Funding Officer  
Poppy Ellis Logan, CUSU/GU Welfare and Rights Officer  
Charlotte Chorley, CUSU Women's Officer\*  
Robert Corbyn-Smith, CUSU Disabled Students' Officer\*  
Jack Renshaw, CUSU LGBT+ Chair\*  
Nafis Khan, CUSU BME President\*  
Yannis Hemrich, Clare JCR Vice President\*  
Stephen Walsh, Clare Hall GSB Vice President\*  
Charlie Mercer, Emmanuel JCR Vice President\*  
Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*  
Alex Cicale, Fitzwilliam JCR President\*  
Damiano Sogaro, Fitzwilliam JCR Vice President\*  
Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President\*  
Leonardo Buizza, Homerton JCR Vice President External\*  
Maya Raphael, Hughes Hall Vice President\*  
Ruby Stewart-Liberty, Jesus JCR Vice President\*  
Eleni Courea, King's JCR President (proxy: Martha Rose Saunders)\*  
Johannes Wolf, King's College  
Colm Murphy, TCS/Magdalene College  
Beth Craig-Geen, Murray Edwards JCR President\*  
Arthur Westwell, Queens' MCR External Officer\*  
Eli Bond, St Catharine's JCR President (proxy: Ben Platt, St Catharine's)\*  
Benjamin Weber, St Catharine's JCR External Officer\*  
Cornelius Roemer, Trinity JCR President\*  
Krishna Sharma, Trinity BA Society President\*  
James Troup, Trinity Hall JCR President\*  
Dale Walmsley, Trinity Hall JCR Vice President\*  
Louis Ashworth, Varsity Reporter/Wolfson College

Voting sheets needed: Alex Cicale, Martha Rose Saunders, Cornelius Roemer

\* - Voting member of CUSU Council - 24

---

## APOLOGIES

Eleni Courea, King's JCR President (proxy sent)  
Gabriel Fleming, King's JCR Vice President (proxy sent)

## OBJECTIONS TO THE ORDER OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

None

## CORRECTIONS TO THE MINUTES OF THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

None

## MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES OF THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

Last Council was inquorate, and so the motions have been added for ratification to this Council's meeting. As both motions were 'passed', there won't be any discussions.

## DATE, TIME AND VENUE OF UPCOMING COUNCIL MEETINGS

The next CUSU Council (Michaelmas II) will be held on the 9<sup>th</sup> November at 7pm, location either in the Students' Unions' Building or the University Centre.

## ANNOUNCEMENTS BY COMMITTEES, CAMPAIGN TEAMS AND THE EXECUTIVE

---

### CUSU LGBT+ CAMPAIGN - JACK RENSHAW

- Elections being held at the weekend for President, Trans Officer, and the creation of a new role of Disability Officer
- First forum
- Trans Awareness Month, including an ally workshop

---

### CUSU BME CAMPAIGN - NAFIS KHAN

- Black History Month events
- Statement drafted in opposition to the inclusion of David Starkey in the CUDAR video

---

### CUSU DISABLED STUDENTS' CAMPAIGN - ROBERT CORBYN-SMITH

- Disabled Women's Officer election (voting closes tonight)
- Spoke at Girton, Caius & St Catz JCR meetings about having JCR Disabled Students' Officers
- Worked with CUSU Officers to discuss a response to cuts to the DSA
- Open meeting
- Plans for Disabili-tea and coffee meet-up

## QUESTIONS TO COMMITTEES, CAMPAIGN TEAMS AND THE EXECUTIVE

Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President to Jemma Stewart, CUSU Coordinator: what came about from the contact within the University with regards to the UNI4 bus contract?

- Jemma: the contract is ending in 2016 and the University has stressed that they already heavily subsidise Stagecoach for the UNI4. Will be looking into getting student consultation on the renewal of the contract, also looking into potential discussions for any route changes that could take place

## ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE COUNCIL

- Poppy Ellis Logan: working with College Ents Officers to do an inter-collegiate trick or treating on Halloween as a great way for Freshers to mix and also low pressure (non-alcoholic). CUSU is able to buy sweets on behalf of JCRs/MCRs if money given in advance.

## 8. DISCUSSIONS

### A. THE TEF

Background to the TEF is provided. CUSU has the opportunity to feed into the committee developing the TEF and has until Friday to send in thoughts on this. Six questions have been given by parliament, directed to question 3.

Where is it beneficial to have a Framework that encourages the quality of teaching, and should it be linked to fee levels?

Has the Government taken a stance on raising fee levels? Yes - the Government wants this (written in the budget)

Nothing published regarding whether tuition loans would rise along with the fees

Not defined exactly why excellent teaching should allow a rise in fee levels

Nothing mentioned about student input into the assessment of teaching excellence

Suggestion that if you get better teaching you should pay more - the guidelines proposed are not related to quality in teaching. It's pointless to say that Cambridge is good at teaching just because students go on to have good careers and earn more money. Universities that send lots of students onto research might not do so well within TEF

Could impact on how students rate their University in NSS & affect how critical students are of their own institution if it could impact on funding

The Vice-Chancellor spoke against rising fee levels with concerns about widening participation, in contrast to Oxford's VC.

Question: Could OFSTED be involved in this?

The TEF is doing something not dissimilar to QAA (periodic review of institutions using external people, to check that the institution is complying with the Quality Code). The TEF is a more political venture

Impact on graduate students' teaching opportunities - this isn't clear if this is solely undergraduate or holistic with its effect on postgrads. There's already a push for more training for postgrads to teach - could it be that these opportunities lessen and impact on graduate careers?

If the Government will cut back on funding and allow the University to raise fee income, it's different to the University keeping funding. Does direct funding to Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) get lowered in favour of higher fees, or does the direct funding stay at the same level and some HEIs charge higher fees?

Students from widening participation backgrounds with the mentality that they'd need to go to cheaper universities, and could further instil the idea of Oxbridge elitism. Further contributes to university marketization, instead of support from the Government. If the TEF happens, it should be an opportunity to improve teaching in a meaningful way.

The principal of financial incentives for teaching felt against CUSU and student principles

Would metrics take into account the initial wealth of universities already and the facilities that they have?

If there's better education you should pay more for it, but that should mean that universities have to decrease instead of increase fees

Distinction need to be made between whether we see education as a consumer good or a public good

The TEF is a Government attempt to privatise education and should be resisted.

We need to recognise that there's no unanimous support within the debate and make sure that we aren't dominated by one political direction, recognising that the student body is diverse.

What's the alternative?

Professors have to research as well as teach - any measures so that research won't be impaired by financial pressure to provide teaching (context of the REF is given, metric-based). The two could pull each other apart - teaching versus research.

---

## B. CUTS TO MAINTENANCE GRANTS

Similar format to discussion on TEF - CUSU is currently in the midst of a campaign along with NUS & other SUs to tackle & oppose the changes to Maintenance Grants (going from Grants given to students whose household income is below £25k, partial grants from £25-42k, to an increased Maintenance Loan with the top loan being £8,200 given to students of lower income backgrounds)

Do people believe this change could have an impact on access? What are your views?

What would people like to see as a financial support system for students?

How can CUSU be opposing the cuts?

Current students at Cambridge who get MGs also get the Cambridge Bursary. If MGs are removed, will the CB still be available? Plan to keep running the Bursary Scheme.

Impact: NUS has found potential increase of £13k of student debt from students receiving grants. Over 1/3 of students wouldn't have applied to HE without the support of a grant

Have there been any plans made (if switch to loan) to introduce any means testing/tests for families of multiple children?

Whatever response we give, it would be nice to mention the bursary scheme. It would be nice to distinguish with the first question that whilst it would have a negative impact, there's still the bursary scheme and show Cambridge has a good programme.

Still about getting an impact with the Government

We need to bear in mind that whilst Cambridge has a good bursary scheme, there can be less provision for students. This is about looking at the whole sector and not just Cambridge. If this happens there could be an extension.

Even if the bursaries are good, you only find out how much you're going to get when you arrive. There isn't comprehensive guidance, important to budget in advance - only know about this 1 month in. If hadn't been able to find out from current students, maybe wouldn't have come. It would be good to hear this information from the University in advance.

Question: what would your ideal financial support system be?

Is the fixed student loan pressure fair? E.g. disabled students/parents who finish and for whom £21k isn't a considerable amount of money/suffering more from their loan. There are a lot of people talking about their student debt as it not mattering because it isn't being paid back- does this ignore the reality of different capacities to pay back?

Graduates earning less end up with more back/paying more back over time.

The Government proposing to freeze the loan threshold to £21k, therefore having more of an effect on students from lower earning backgrounds.

Whilst we do have the Cambridge bursary, different colleges have different abilities to fund students - will possibly see a big difference in: people applying to certain colleges because they're the colleges that can give more financial support to students. Need to be careful about how certain colleges market themselves if they can't afford to go to that college. Will be about whether you can afford to live somewhere.

Agreement with above point: The Newton Trust has withdrawn a lot of funding, shift to a college level. Being at a poorer college - know it will have an effect on a college's ability to

support students. Not an issue of budgeting, as people have more money with loans whilst they're here. Instead it's more of an issue with college bursaries.

With consumer protection law - having certain legal rights when applying to university and studying there as well. University has to become more honest with the information they provide to students.

➔ Send information to Colm WRT Consumer Protection Law

Trinity has loads of extra bursary (bursary for Welsh people) but not allowed to advertise to prospective students. Doesn't think they will reveal that kind of information.

Ideal financial support system -

Are students actually thriving within the system that's currently in place with the level of maintenance loan that's available?

In terms of what CUSU can do: CUSU should try and put as much pressure on Cambridge as possible to increase the amount of money through the Cambridge Bursary. When you think about how they've launched fundraising campaign, it's obviously capable of raising money. This should be a priority for CUSU from now on.

Point of information that most donations are for specific things and the University can't spend the money on. The money has all already been spent, selling the things they've already built. Not capable of fundraising all of the money - it's clear that we can't confuse the fact that they're raising £2m.

CUSU can still exert pressure to make the maximum out of Bursary Scheme

Surely it has to be that if Colleges do want to present themselves as equal, then Colleges need to make more of a commitment to donating to the bursary scheme to equal that playing field by increasing the amount of money available for those universal schemes.

Coming from a college that doesn't have a massive endowment, it's unfeasible that the disparity is going to be addressed because some colleges are older than others, and CUSU needs to support university-led schemes as much as possible.

We are one University, and this is going to affect all students. We're having a really University-centric discussion and this is such a waste of our capacity as such massive potential that we have. It seems arrogant to talk about how we're going to be okay because of our own bursaries, we shouldn't be the only university we talk about. Other Universities should get our support

It would be good to have a motion on this to have a position that we can be behind.

The following motion has information on this

It's possible to do both campaigns in one (University and Nationally)

We should use our platform and voice to try to provoke change on a national level, but that doesn't mean we should ignore our students here in Cambridge. Promoting the

bursary and getting the University to commit to more financial resources. We are here to represent Cambridge students.

## 9. ORDINARY MOTIONS

### A. SUPPORTING THE NATIONAL DEMO FOR FREE EDUCATION

Proposed by Ben Platt (bjp34@cam.ac.uk)

Seconded by Helena Blair (CUSU Access and Funding Officer, access@cusu.cam.ac.uk)

#### PROPOSITION - BEN PLATT

Maintenance grants are going to be cut, whilst the threshold for loan repayments will be frozen and have a disproportionate effect on those from poorer backgrounds. These are not in isolation - lots are being cut or removed completely. University fees didn't take effect until 1998 with further attacks on Higher Education. The character of education is being undermined as a public good, it's not something that's entirely a career choice or about debt and fees. We should oppose these cuts and support Free Education. We should campaign for a new vision of Higher Education. This isn't a pipe dream - free education is in Scotland and Germany. Students can collectively change this landscape.

#### SPEECH AGAINST

None

#### QUESTIONS

Point on Resolves 1: is that a slogan that already exists?

- 'Tax the Rich' is a political point of view. We aren't elected because we represent a political point of view. CUSU should tread lightly when using such words.

Friendly amendment: CUSU Notes 1: 'Crisis' changed to 'challenges', insert word 'many', to read: 'The challenges of poverty, debt and lack of future that faces many students who graduate today'

Amendment: Remove 'Tax the Rich'

- Vote: 19 for, 5 against, no abstentions
- Amendment passes

Friendly amendment: CUSU Resolves 4: "To campaign equally against those cuts that target members of disadvantaged groups"

Friendly amendment: add explicit references to other cuts: CUSU Notes 5: "That the cuts to higher education are more extensive than just the abolition of maintenance grants, and often disproportionately affect disadvantaged groups of students such as the closure of the Access to Learning Fund, and the proposed cuts to the Disabled Students' Allowance.

## Amendment: Remove Resolves 1

- For: Can support students going but not the reason for why
- Against: this supports NUS
- Point of Information: This is the slogan of the demonstration
- Vote: 0 for, 19 against, 4 abstentions

## Question: How much will it cost?

- CUSU supported an equivalent demonstration last year, costing £400-500. If thousands of students sign up, it could cost too much (but not unreasonable to doubt that thousands of students would show up).

---

## SUMMATION SPEECH

Waived

---

## VOTING RESULTS

### **For: 18**

Charlotte Chorley, CUSU Women's Officer\*  
Robert Corbyn-Smith, CUSU Disabled Students' Officer\*  
Jack Renshaw, CUSU LGBT+ Chair\*  
Nafis Khan, CUSU BME President\*  
Yannis Hemrich, Clare JCR Vice President\*  
Stephen Walsh, Clare Hall GSB Vice President\*  
Charlie Mercer, Emmanuel JCR Vice President\*  
Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*  
Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President\*  
Maya Raphael, Hughes Hall Vice President\*  
Leonardo Buizza, Homerton JCR Vice President External\*  
Ruby Stewart-Liberty, Jesus JCR Vice President\*  
Eleni Courea, King's JCR President (proxy: Martha Rose Saunders)\*  
Beth Craig-Geen, Murray Edwards JCR President\*  
Eli Bond, St Catharine's JCR President (proxy: Ben Platt, St Catharine's)\*  
Benjamin Weber, St Catharine's JCR External Officer\*  
Krishna Sharma, Trinity BA Society President\*  
James Troup, Trinity Hall JCR President\*

### **Against: 0**

### **Abstention: 5**

Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*  
Damiano Sogaro, Fitzwilliam JCR Vice President\*  
Arthur Westwell, Queens' MCR External Officer\*  
Cornelius Roemer, Trinity JCR President\*  
Dale Walmsley, Trinity Hall JCR Vice President\*

### **Motion Passes**

---

## B. MOTION TO SUPPORT THE CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY CALAIS REFUGEE ACTION GROUP

Proposed: Peter Martin ([pm505@cam.ac.uk](mailto:pm505@cam.ac.uk)), seconded: Tom Tyson ([tmt27@cam.ac.uk](mailto:tmt27@cam.ac.uk))

---

### PROPOSITION - NOT PRESENT

#### SPEECH AGAINST

Not necessarily an opposing speech, but this sounds like a lot of money

---

#### QUESTIONS

- If students are not allowed to work/volunteer for more than 6 hours a weekend during term time, would it be difficult to support a weekend of volunteering?
  - o Not a problem if we oppose the University on something
- We shouldn't be telling people to do something that might get them into trouble
- There hasn't been much justification as to why the trip is so expensive per person - would be much more comfortable if it was more detailed
- No strong financial backing - sets a bad precedent for the use of CUSU Council money
- We aren't primarily a philanthropic body - given the trouble with CUSU finances, we shouldn't be using this route - could apply to RAG instead?
- Concern that it's unclear about assessing who can pay £50 and who can't
- This isn't a donation to a charity - this is supporting students with what they want to do, which is a role of CUSU
- Is there a precedent for doing this?
  - o No
- Are we setting a precedent in terms of charity or in terms of society support? In terms of choosing a cause like this
  - o CUSU hasn't necessarily been asked to give money to charities before, but doesn't mean it can't

Amendment: CUSU Resolves 1: To apportion £800 of CUSU Council Free Budget, up to £50 per student

- There should be a mechanism to assess ability to pay, where principally those students who receive a bursary/maintenance grant/subject to means testing

#### Questions

- Could this set a precedent of societies applying for money for charitable deeds? If so, how do we distinguish between each?
- Disagreement that there should be a mechanism to distinguish students who receive a bursary - should be solely an opportunity to volunteer
- Concern that people who would want to volunteer would be put off by cost
- Speech against the amendment: we shouldn't be making amendments when the representatives aren't here - could give them guidance and ask to rewrite

Amendment vote: 3 for, 6 against, 14 in abstention - amendment falls

---

## SUMMATION SPEECH

None

---

## VOTING RESULTS

### **For: 3**

Charlie Mercer, Emmanuel JCR Vice President\*

Eli Bond, St Catharine's JCR President (proxy: Ben Platt, St Catharine's)\*

Benjamin Weber, St Catharine's JCR External Officer\*

### **Against: 4**

Stephen Walsh, Clare Hall GSB Vice President\*

Damiano Sogaro, Fitzwilliam JCR Vice President\*

Leonardo Buizza, Homerton JCR Vice President External\*

Krishna Sharma, Trinity BA Society President\*

### **Abstention: 15**

Charlotte Chorley, CUSU Women's Officer\*

Robert Corbyn-Smith, CUSU Disabled Students' Officer\*

Jack Renshaw, CUSU LGBT+ Chair\*

Nafis Khan, CUSU BME President\*

Yannis Hemrich, Clare JCR Vice President\*

Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*

Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President\*

Maya Raphael, Hughes Hall Vice President\*

Ruby Stewart-Liberty, Jesus JCR Vice President\*

Beth Craig-Geen, Murray Edwards JCR President\*

Arthur Westwell, Queens' MCR External Officer\*

Cornelius Roemer, Trinity JCR President\*

James Troup, Trinity Hall JCR President\*

Dale Walmsley, Trinity Hall JCR Vice President\*

**Motion Falls** - Will encourage them to bring it back to next Council

---

## C. MOTION TO ACTIVELY CAMPAIGN AGAINST CAMBRIDGESHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL'S PROPOSED STREETLIGHT SWITCH-OFF

Proposed by Cornelius Roemer ([cf492@cam.ac.uk](mailto:cf492@cam.ac.uk)), seconded by Damiano Sogaro ([ds675@cam.ac.uk](mailto:ds675@cam.ac.uk))

---

## PROPOSITION - CORNELIUS ROEMER

The County Council wants to switch off a large proportion of street lights from April 2016, many of which are close to the city centre. Students' general consensus that lighting on streets frequented by students shouldn't be switched off. A petition has been started in Trinity College that has attracted lots of wider attention and support. CUSU should be

doing something similar - lots of streets important to students and we should stand together on this. Two strategies: the County Council has to save lots of money as 1/3 of their budget has been cut. It might not be possible to stop the entire proposal going ahead, but we should point out which streets are important to students (e.g. Garrett Hostel Lane). We don't support this in general, and need to try and find which streets we want to stay lit. We should try to convince the University and Colleges to financially support it.

---

## SPEECH AGAINST

None

---

## QUESTIONS

Amendment: CUSU Resolves 1: Should this refer to the existing petition as set up by the Trinity JCR Women's Officer, or should CUSU set up a separate petition? Supporting the existing petition for the County Council

Vote: 13 for, 7 against, 3 in abstention - amendment passes

---

## SPEECH AGAINST

Can no longer support the motion as it doesn't apply to Fitz College. Points being made that it's selfish not to think of students at all colleges.

---

## QUESTIONS

The rest of the motion hasn't changed, amendment to address the wider Cambridge area?

Second resolves mentions a second petition to be launched, campaigning for wider city.

Unfair to change the current petition to be wider Cambridge as this isn't what they've signed

---

## VOTING RESULTS

### **For: 22**

Charlotte Chorley, CUSU Women's Officer\*

Robert Corbyn-Smith, CUSU Disabled Students' Officer\*

Jack Renshaw, CUSU LGBT+ Chair\*

Nafis Khan, CUSU BME President\*

Yannis Hemrich, Clare JCR Vice President\*

Stephen Walsh, Clare Hall GSB Vice President\*

Charlie Mercer, Emmanuel JCR Vice President\*

Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*

Alex Cicale, Fitzwilliam JCR President\*

Damiano Sogaro, Fitzwilliam JCR Vice President\*

Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President\*  
Leonardo Buizza, Homerton JCR Vice President External\*  
Maya Raphael, Hughes Hall Vice President\*  
Ruby Stewart-Liberty, Jesus JCR Vice President\*  
Beth Craig-Geen, Murray Edwards JCR President\*  
Arthur Westwell, Queens' MCR External Officer\*  
Eli Bond, St Catharine's JCR President (proxy: Ben Platt, St Catharine's)\*  
Benjamin Weber, St Catharine's JCR External Officer\*  
Cornelius Roemer, Trinity JCR President\*  
Krishna Sharma, Trinity BA Society President\*  
James Troup, Trinity Hall JCR President\*  
Dale Walmsley, Trinity Hall JCR Vice President\*

**Against:** 0

**Abstentions:** 0

### **Motion Passes**

---

#### D. MOTION TO INCREASE DEMOCRATIC LEGITIMATION OF CUSU BUSINESS THROUGH CUSU COUNCIL INVOLVEMENT

Proposed by Cornelius Roemer ([cr492@cam.ac.uk](mailto:cr492@cam.ac.uk)), seconded by Damiano Sogaro ([ds674@cam.ac.uk](mailto:ds674@cam.ac.uk))

---

#### PROPOSITION - CORNELIUS ROEMER

CUSU Council struggles with attendance and boring business. There's a feeling among students that CUSU is not debating relevant issues. The Constitution states that CUSU Policy is really important, but this is not reflected in any way that CUSU acts. The main CUSU policies should be debated in this forum - this might help CUSU's image and CUSU policies are active for three years - a lot of policies active that we're not aware of that can become lost. There should be a greater awareness.

---

#### SPEECH AGAINST - LEONARDO BUIZZA

CUSU Resolves 2 and 3 are already done but not up to date - we can see them on the CUSU website. We should have a discussion on what we want CUSU to look like, not debate a motion already formed. Concerned that this wouldn't improve attendance - instead, we should have a meeting and discuss.

---

#### QUESTIONS

Terminology of CUSU Resolves 1 - affirmation is a vote? The Women's Officer is elected on a mandate.

How can CUSU be responsive when Council is every two weeks and not always quorate?

- The things that officers want to do should have CUSU Policy behind them. It wouldn't make it so that the only things that can be done are policy. Officers have lots of ideas but not in clear legislative ways

Can the first Resolves be stricken? Things should be kept up to date on the website, there should be a list of motions that are relevant and can be presented in electronic format

Perhaps the motion is a bit too ambitious and needed discussing more widely - cut the motion down a lot to CUSU Resolves:

- Accepts that changes to existing policies should be mandated, subject to further discussion
- To keep up to date archive of accepted and current motions
- Keep the website up to date
- To bring more motions from CUSU internally

Clarification on the point that officers put ideas on their manifestos, would they have to bring these to CUSU Council to have them reconfirmed? This would be new policies instead of those elected in on

The whole motion can be more appropriately addressed through a discussion meeting

---

## SUMMATION SPEECH

None

---

## VOTING RESULTS

### **For: 21**

Charlotte Chorley, CUSU Women's Officer\*  
 Robert Corbyn-Smith, CUSU Disabled Students' Officer\*  
 Jack Renshaw, CUSU LGBT+ Chair\*  
 Nafis Khan, CUSU BME President\*  
 Yannis Hemrich, Clare JCR Vice President\*  
 Stephen Walsh, Clare Hall GSB Vice President\*  
 Charlie Mercer, Emmanuel JCR Vice President\*  
 Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*  
 Alex Cicale, Fitzwilliam JCR President\*  
 Damiano Sogaro, Fitzwilliam JCR Vice President\*  
 Leonardo Buizza, Homerton JCR Vice President External\*  
 Maya Raphael, Hughes Hall Vice President\*  
 Ruby Stewart-Liberty, Jesus JCR Vice President\*  
 Beth Craig-Geen, Murray Edwards JCR President\*  
 Arthur Westwell, Queens' MCR External Officer\*  
 Eli Bond, St Catharine's JCR President (proxy: Ben Platt, St Catharine's)\*  
 Benjamin Weber, St Catharine's JCR External Officer\*  
 Cornelius Roemer, Trinity JCR President\*  
 Krishna Sharma, Trinity BA Society President\*

James Troup, Trinity Hall JCR President\*  
Dale Walmsley, Trinity Hall JCR Vice President\*

**Against:** 0

**Abstention:** 1

Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President\*

### **Motion Passes**

## 10. RATIFICATION OF MOTIONS FROM LAST INQUORATE COUNCIL

As these motions were passed at the last Council there will be no debate - simply a ratification required due to inquoracy of last Council

### A. MOTION TO SUPPORT THE CAMBRIDGE CALAIS REFUGEE ACTION GROUP

**For:** 16

Charlotte Chorley, CUSU Women's Officer\*  
Robert Corbyn-Smith, CUSU Disabled Students' Officer\*  
Jack Renshaw, CUSU LGBT+ Chair\*  
Nafis Khan, CUSU BME President\*  
Yannis Hemrich, Clare JCR Vice President\*  
Stephen Walsh, Clare Hall GSB Vice President\*  
Charlie Mercer, Emmanuel JCR Vice President\*  
Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*  
Alex Cicale, Fitzwilliam JCR President\*  
Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President\*  
Beth Craig-Geen, Murray Edwards JCR President\*  
Maya Raphael, Hughes Hall Vice President\*  
Ruby Stewart-Liberty, Jesus JCR Vice President\*  
Eli Bond, St Catharine's JCR President (proxy: Ben Platt, St Catharine's)\*  
Benjamin Weber, St Catharine's JCR External Officer\*  
Cornelius Roemer, Trinity JCR President\*

**Against:** 2

Damiano Sogaro, Fitzwilliam JCR Vice President\*  
Leonardo Buizza, Homerton JCR Vice President External\*

**Abstention:** 4

Arthur Westwell, Queens' MCR External Officer\*  
Krishna Sharma, Trinity BA Society President\*  
James Troup, Trinity Hall JCR President\*  
Dale Walmsley, Trinity Hall JCR Vice President\*

### **Motion Passes**

### B. MOTION TO APPOINT STUDENT TRUSTEES

**For:** 18

Charlotte Chorley, CUSU Women's Officer\*

Robert Corbyn-Smith, CUSU Disabled Students' Officer\*  
Jack Renshaw, CUSU LGBT+ Chair\*  
Nafis Khan, CUSU BME President\*  
Yannis Hemrich, Clare JCR Vice President\*  
Stephen Walsh, Clare Hall GSB Vice President\*  
Charlie Mercer, Emmanuel JCR Vice President\*  
Emily Ward, Emmanuel MCR Vice President\*  
Alex Cicale, Fitzwilliam JCR President\*  
Ruth Taylor, Homerton JCR President\*  
Leonardo Buizza, Homerton JCR Vice President External\*  
Ruby Stewart-Liberty, Jesus JCR Vice President\*  
Beth Craig-Geen, Murray Edwards JCR President\*  
Arthur Westwell, Queens' MCR External Officer\*  
Eli Bond, St Catharine's JCR President (proxy: Ben Platt, St Catharine's)\*  
Benjamin Weber, St Catharine's JCR External Officer\*  
Cornelius Roemer, Trinity JCR President\*  
Dale Walmsley, Trinity Hall JCR Vice President\*

**Against:** 0

**Abstention:** 4

James Troup, Trinity Hall JCR President\*  
Krishna Sharma, Trinity BA Society President\*  
Maya Raphael, Hughes Hall Vice President\*  
Damiano Sogaro, Fitzwilliam JCR Vice President\*

## ELECTIONS COMMITTEE ELECTIONS

Jemma Stewart & Charlie Chorley are elected to Elections Committee.

## ANY OTHER BUSINESS

None